SOUTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE PRINTING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RESOURCES

COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA

STATE AUDITOR'S REPORT

JUNE 30, 2000

State of South Carolina



Office of the State Auditor

1401 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1200 COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

THOMAS L. WAGNER, JR., CPA STATE AUDITOR (803) 253-4160 FAX (803) 343-0723

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

June 8, 2001

Members of the South Carolina General Assembly State of South Carolina Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the management of the South Carolina General Assembly Office of Legislative Printing and Information Technology Resources (the Office), solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Office for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000, in the areas addressed. This engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures was performed in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

1. We tested selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records and internal controls over the tested receipt transactions were adequate. We also tested selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year. We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in the State's accounting system (STARS) as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if recorded revenues were in agreement. We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law. We compared current year recorded revenues from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year to determine the reasonableness of collected and recorded amounts by revenue account. The individual transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

Members of the South Carolina General Assembly State of South Carolina June 8, 2001

- We tested selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records, were bona fide disbursements of the Office, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations and if internal controls over the tested disbursement transactions were adequate. We also tested selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year. We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded expenditures were in agreement. We compared current year expenditures to those of the prior year to determine the reasonableness of amounts paid and recorded by expenditure account. The individual transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 3. We tested selected recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the tested payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona fide employees: payroll transactions, including employee payroll deductions, were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements; and internal controls over the tested payroll transactions were adequate. We tested selected payroll vouchers to determine if the vouchers were properly approved and if the gross payroll agreed to amounts recorded in the general ledger and in STARS. We also tested payroll transactions for all new employees and all those who terminated employment to determine if internal controls over these transactions were adequate. We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were in agreement. We performed other procedures such as comparing current year recorded payroll expenditures to those of the prior year and comparing the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were reasonable by expenditure account. The individual transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 4. We tested all recorded journal entries to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, were adequately documented and explained, were properly approved, and were mathematically correct; and the internal controls over these transactions were adequate. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 5. We tested selected entries and monthly totals in the subsidiary records of the Office to determine if the amounts were mathematically accurate; the numerical sequences of selected document series were complete; the selected monthly totals were accurately posted to the general ledger; and the internal controls over the tested transactions were adequate. The transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

Members of the South Carolina General Assembly State of South Carolina June 8, 2001

- 6. We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by the Office for the year ended June 30, 2000, and tested the final fiscal year 2000 reconciliations of balances in the Office's accounting records to those in STARS as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if they were accurate and complete. For the selected reconciliations, we recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the Office's general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the STARS reports, determined if reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined if necessary adjusting entries were made in the Office's accounting records and/or in STARS. We judgementally selected the year-end reconciliations for testing. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 7. We tested the Office's compliance with all applicable financial provisions of the South Carolina Code of Laws, Appropriation Act, and other laws, rules, and regulations for fiscal year 2000. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 8. We obtained copies of all closing packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2000, prepared by the Office and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We reviewed them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's <u>GAAP Closing Procedures Manual</u> requirements; if the amounts were reasonable; and if they agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

We were not engaged to, and did not, perform an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified areas, accounts, or items. Further, we were not engaged to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express such opinions. Had we performed additional procedures or had we conducted an audit or review of the Office's financial statements or any part thereof, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to vou.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the General Assembly and of the management of the South Carolina General Assembly Office of Legislative Printing and Information Technology Resources and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

State Auditor

14 copies of total printing	this document cost of \$17.30	t were published. The FY 200	ed at an estima 00-01 Appropria	ited printing cost	of \$1.23 each, s that this inforn	and a
14 copies of total printing on printing co	this document cost of \$17.30 osts be added	t were published). The FY 200 to the docume	ed at an estima 00-01 Appropria nt.	ited printing cost ation Act requires	of \$1.23 each, s that this inforn	and a nation
14 copies of total printing on printing co	this document cost of \$17.30 osts be added	t were publishe). The FY 200 to the docume	ed at an estima 00-01 Appropria nt.	ited printing cost ation Act requires	of \$1.23 each, s that this inforn	and a nation
14 copies of total printing on printing co	this document cost of \$17.30 osts be added	t were publishe). The FY 200 to the documen	ed at an estima 00-01 Appropria nt.	ated printing cost	of \$1.23 each, s that this inforn	and a nation